

Solvability of Boundary Value Problems for Singular Quasi-Laplacian Differential Equations on the Whole Line*

Yuji Liu

Guangdong University of Business Studies

Guangdong Province, China

E-mail: liuyuji888@sohu.com

Received July 6, 2011; revised March 26, 2012; published online June 1, 2012

Abstract. This paper is concerned with some integral type boundary value problems associated to second order singular differential equations with quasi-Laplacian on the whole line. The emphasis is put on the one-dimensional p -Laplacian term $[\Phi(\rho(t)a(t, x(t), x'(t))x'(t))]'$ involving a nonnegative function ρ that may be singular at $t = 0$ and such that $\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{ds}{\rho(s)} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{\rho(s)} = +\infty$. A Banach space and a nonlinear completely continuous operator are defined in this paper. By using the Schauder's fixed point theorem, sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of at least one solution are established. An example is presented to illustrate the main theorem.

Keywords: second order singular differential equation with quasi-Laplacian on the whole line, integral type boundary value problem, fixed point theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 34B10; 34B15; 35B10.

1 Introduction

The multi-point boundary-value problems for linear second order ordinary differential equations (ODEs) were initiated by Il'in and Moiseev [15]. Since then, more general nonlinear multi-point boundary-value problems (BVPs) were studied by several authors, see the paper [8, 9, 10, 19], the text books [1, 13, 14], the survey papers [11, 18] and the references therein. However, the study of the existence of solutions of differential equations on the whole real line with nonlinear differential operators does not seem to be sufficiently developed [5].

Differential equations governed by nonlinear differential operators have been widely studied. In this setting the most investigated operator is the classical p -Laplacian, that is $\Phi_p(x) = |x|^{p-2}x$ with $p > 1$, which, in recent years, has been

* Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong province (No. S2011010001900) and the Guangdong Higher Education Foundation for High-level talents.

generalized to other types of differential operators, that preserve the monotonicity of the p -Laplacian, but are not homogeneous. These more general operators, which are usually referred to as Φ -Laplacian (or quasi-Laplacian), are involved in some models, e.g. in non-Newtonian fluid theory, diffusion of flows in porous media, nonlinear elasticity and theory of capillary surfaces. The related nonlinear differential equation has the form

$$[\Phi(x')] = f(t, x, x'), \quad t \in (-\infty, +\infty),$$

where $\Phi : R \rightarrow R$ is an increasing homeomorphism such that $\Phi(0) = 0$. More recently, equations involving other types of differential operators have been studied from a different point of view arising from other types of models, e.g. reaction diffusion equations with non-constant diffusivity and porous media equations. This leads to consider nonlinear differential operators of the type $[a(t, x, x')\Phi(x')]'$, where a is a positive continuous function. For a comprehensive bibliography on this subject, see e.g. [11, 16, 18].

In [17], the authors study a class of BVPs for the second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations on the whole line. Two theorems have been proved. The first one is established by the use of the Schauder theorem and concerns the existence of solutions, while the second one deals with the existence and uniqueness of solutions and is derived by the Banach contraction principle.

In [12], the authors study the boundary value problem $[a(x(t))\Phi(x'(t))] = f(t, x(t), x'(t))$, $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $x(-\infty) = \nu_1$, $x(+\infty) = \nu_2$, establishing the existence and non-existence of heteroclinic solutions.

In [5], Bianconi and Papalini investigate the existence of solutions of the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi(x'(t))] + a(t, x(t))b(x(t), x'(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in R, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} x(t) =: x(-\infty) &= 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) =: x(+\infty) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where Φ is a monotone function which generalizes the one-dimensional p -Laplacian operator. A criterion for the existence and non-existence of solutions of BVP (1.1) is established. In [2, 4], Avramescu and Vladimirescu study the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) + 2f(t)x'(t) + x(t) + g(t, x(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in R, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} x(t) =: x(\pm\infty) &= 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} x'(t) =: x'(\pm\infty) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where f and g are given functions. The existence of solutions of BVP (1.2) is obtained. In [3], Avramescu and Vladimirescu study the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) + f(t, x(t), x'(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in R, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} x(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} x'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x'(t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

under some adequate hypotheses and using the Bohnenblust–Karlin fixed point theorem, the existence of solutions of BVP (1.3) is established.

Cabada and Cid [6] prove the solvability of the boundary value problem on the whole line

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi(x'(t))]'+f(t,x(t),x'(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in R, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} x(t) &= -1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where f is a continuous function, $\Phi : (-a, a) \rightarrow R$ is a homeomorphism with $a \in (0, +\infty)$, i.e., Φ is singular. Calamai [7] and Marcelli, Papalini [17] discuss the solvability of the following strongly nonlinear BVP:

$$\begin{aligned} [a(x(t))\Phi(x'(t))]'+f(t,x(t),x'(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in R, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} x(t) &= \alpha, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = \beta, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\tag{1.6}$$

where $\alpha < \beta$, Φ is a general increasing homeomorphism with bounded domain (singular Φ -Laplacian), a is a positive continuous function and f is a Caratheodory nonlinear function. Conditions for the existence and non-existence of heteroclinic solutions in terms of the behavior of $y \rightarrow f(t, x, y)$ and $y \rightarrow \Phi(y)$ as $y \rightarrow 0$, and of $t \rightarrow f(t, x, y)$ as $|t| \rightarrow +\infty$ are established. The approach is based on fixed point techniques suitably combined to the method of upper and lower solutions.

Motivated by the mentioned papers, we consider the more general BVP for a second order singular differential equation on the whole line with quasi-Laplacian operator

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi(\rho(t)a(t,x(t),x'(t))x'(t))]'+f(t,x(t),x'(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in R, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \rho(t)a(t,x(t),x'(t))x'(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s)x(s) ds &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(s,x(s),x'(s)) ds, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(t)a(t,x(t),x'(t))x'(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta(s)x'(s) ds &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(s,x(s),x'(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where

- $\rho \in C^0(R, [0, +\infty))$ with $\rho(t) > 0$ for all $t \neq 0$ satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 ds/\rho(s) = +\infty, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} ds/\rho(s) = +\infty.$$

Denote $\tau(t) = \left| \int_0^t ds/\rho(s) \right|$.

- $a : R \times R \times R \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous and satisfies that there exist constants $m > 0, M > 0$ such that

$$m \leq a(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, y/\rho(t)) \leq M, \quad t \in R, \quad x \in R, \quad y \in R$$

and for each $r > 0, |x|, |y| \leq r$ imply that $a(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, y/\rho(t)) \rightarrow a_{\pm\infty}$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

- f, g, h defined on R^3 are nonnegative Caratheodory functions.

- $\alpha, \beta : R \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds > 0, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{\rho(s)} ds < +\infty,$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{dr}{\rho(r)} ds < +\infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{dr}{\rho(r)} ds < +\infty.$$

- $\Phi \in C^1(R)$ (a quasi-Laplacian operator) is continuous and strictly increasing on R , $\Phi(0) = 0$ and its inverse function denoted by Φ^{-1} is continuous too, moreover Φ^{-1} satisfies that there exist constants $L > 0$ and $L_n > 0$ such that $\Phi^{-1}(x_1 x_2) \leq L\Phi^{-1}(x_1)\Phi^{-1}(x_2)$ and

$$\Phi^{-1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \leq L_n [\Phi^{-1}(x_1) + \dots + \Phi^{-1}(x_n)],$$

$$x_i \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

It is well known that $\Phi(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ with $p > 1$ is called p -Laplacian. One sees that quasi-Laplacian contains p -Laplacian as special case. But $\Phi(s) = \frac{s^3}{1+s^2}$ is a quasi-Laplacian not a p -Laplacian.

By a solution of BVP (1.7) we mean a function $x \in C^1(R)$ such that

$$\Phi(\rho ax') : t \rightarrow \Phi(\rho(t)a(t, x(t), x'(t))x'(t))$$

belongs to $W^{1,1}(R)$ and all equations in (1.7) are satisfied.

The purpose is to establish sufficient conditions for the existence of at least one solution of BVP (1.7). The results in this paper generalize and improve some known ones since the quasi-Laplacian term $[\Phi(\rho(t)a(t, x(t), x'(t))x'(t))]'$ involves the nonnegative function ρ that may satisfy $\rho(0) = 0$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: the preliminary results are given in Section 2, the main results are presented in Section 3. An example is presented in Section 4 to illustrate the prototype of the main theorem.

2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we present some background definitions in Banach spaces and state an important fixed point theorem. The preliminary results are given too.

Let X be a Banach space. An operator $T; X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets.

Lemma 1 [Schauder]. *Let X be a Banach space and $\Omega \subset X$ a nonempty, bounded, open and convex subset of X . Let $T : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ be a completely continuous operator with $T(\partial\Omega) \subset \overline{\Omega}$. Then T has a fixed point in Ω .*

DEFINITION 1. $f : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is called a Carathéodory function if it satisfies

- (i) $t \rightarrow f(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, y/\rho(t))$ is measurable for any $x, y \in R$,
- (ii) $(x, y) \rightarrow f(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, y/\rho(t))$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in R$,

(iii) for each $r > 0$, there exists nonnegative function $\phi_r \in L^1(R)$ such that $|u|, |v| \leq r$ implies

$$\left| f\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))u, v/\rho(t)\right) \right| \leq \phi_r(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in R.$$

Define

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \in C^0(R), \rho x' \in C^0(R) \\ x : R \rightarrow R: \quad t \rightarrow \frac{x(t)}{1+\tau(t)} \text{ is bounded on } R \\ t \rightarrow \rho(t)x'(t) \text{ is bounded on } R \end{array} \right\}.$$

For $x \in X$, define the norm of x by

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in R} \frac{|x(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)}, \sup_{t \in R} \rho(t)|x'(t)| \right\}.$$

One can prove that X is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|$ for $x \in X$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $x \in X$. Denote*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr + \Phi \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr} \right), \\ \sigma_2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr + \Phi \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a unique constant $A_x \in [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}(A_x) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds \\ - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, it holds that

$$|A_x| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr + \Phi \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{M\rho(r)} dr} \right), \tag{2.2}$$

where M is defined in Section 1.

Proof. Since $x \in X$, f, h are Caratheodory functions, then

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in R} \frac{|x(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)}, \sup_{t \in R} \rho(t)|x'(t)| \right\} = r < +\infty,$$

and both

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr$$

converge. Let

$$G(c) = \Phi^{-1}(c) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)\Phi^{-1}(c + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr.$$

Since $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{\rho(s)} ds < +\infty$, then $G(c)$ is well defined on R . It is easy to see that $G(c)$ is strictly increasing on R . We find that

$$\begin{aligned} G(\sigma_1) &= \Phi^{-1}\left(-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr + \Phi\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr}\right)\right) \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} \Phi^{-1}\left(-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr\right. \\ &+ \left.\Phi\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr}\right) + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr\right) ds \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \leq \Phi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr}\right)\right) \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds \Phi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr}\right)\right) \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \\ &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{\rho(r)a(r, x(r), x'(r))} dr} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we find that $G(\sigma_2) \geq 0$.

Hence there exists a unique constant $A_x \in [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ such that (2.1) holds. It is easy to see from $A_x \in [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ that (2.2) holds. The proof is complete. \square

Define the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} B_x + \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds, & t \geq 0, \\ B_x - \int_t^0 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where A_x satisfies (2.1) and

$$B_x = \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_u^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(u)a(u, x(u), x'(u))} du ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\
 & + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_u^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(u)a(u, x(u), x'(u))} du ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 3. *The following properties hold:*

(i) Tx satisfies

$$\begin{cases}
 [\Phi(\rho(t)a(t, x(t), x'(t))(Tx)'(t))] + f(t, x(t), x'(t)) = 0, & t \in R, \\
 \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \rho(t)a(t, x(t), x'(t))(Tx)'(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s)(Tx)(s) ds \\
 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds, \\
 \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(t)a(t, x(t), x'(t))(Tx)'(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta(s)(Tx)'(s) ds \\
 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds.
 \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is well defined.

(iii) $x \in X$ is a solution of BVP (1.7) if and only if x is a fixed point of T in X .

(iv) T is completely continuous.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in X$, by Lemma 2, each A_x is uniquely determined. Hence B_x is well defined. So Tx is well defined. Since f, g, h are Caratheodory functions, then

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in R} \frac{|x(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)}, \sup_{t \in R} \rho(t)|x'(t)| \right\} = r < +\infty,$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr$$

converge. From the definitions of A_x and B_x , we get

$$\rho(t)(Tx)'(t) = \frac{1}{a(t, x(t), x'(t))} \Phi^{-1} \left(A_x + \int_t^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right).$$

It is easy to see that (2.5) holds.

(ii) From the assumptions imposed on α, β, ρ , we know that $t \rightarrow (Tx)(t)$ is continuous on R and $(Tx)(t)/(1 + \tau(t))$ is bounded on R . Furthermore,

$$\rho(t)(Tx)'(t) = \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_t^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(t, x(t), x'(t))}. \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that $t \rightarrow \rho(t)(Tx)'(t)$ is continuous on R and $\rho(t)(Tx)'(t)$ is bounded on R . It follows that $Tx \in X$. Hence $T : X \rightarrow X$ is well defined.

(iii) It is easy to see that $x \in X$ is a solution of BVP (1.7) if and only if x is a fixed point of T in X .

(iv) The following five steps are needed (Steps 1–2 imply that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and Steps 3–5 imply that T maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets). It follows that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous.

Step 1. We prove that the function $A_x : X \rightarrow R$ is continuous in x .

Let $\{x_n\} \in X$ with $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\{A_{x_n}\}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) be constants decided by equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi^{-1}(A_{x_n}) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)\Phi^{-1}(A_{x_n} + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x_n(r), x'_n(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x_n(s), x'_n(s))} ds \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x_n(r), x'_n(t)) dr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding to x_n ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Since $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an $M_0 > 0$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq M_0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). The fact f, g, h are Carathéodory functions means there exists $\phi_{M_0} \in L^1(R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x_n(t), x'_n(t)) &= f\left(t, x_n(t), \frac{1}{\rho(t)}\rho(t)x'_n(t)\right) \leq \phi_{M_0}(t), \quad t \in R, \\ g(t, x_n(t), x'_n(t)) &\leq \phi_{M_0}(t), \quad h(t, x_n(t), x'_n(t)) \leq \phi_{M_0}(t), \quad t \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x_n(r), x'_n(r)) dr &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr < \infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(r, x_n(r), x'_n(r)) dr &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr < \infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x_n(r), x'_n(r)) dr &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{x_n}| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| ds + \Phi\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{M\rho(r)} dr}\right) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(s) ds + \Phi\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(s) ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{M\rho(r)} dr}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\{A_{x_n}\}$ is uniformly bounded. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)\Phi^{-1}(A_{x_n} + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x_n(r), x'_n(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x_n(s), x'_n(s))} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1}\left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + \Phi\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(s) ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\{A_{x_n}\}$ does not converge to A_{x_0} . Then there exist two subsequences $\{A_{x_{n_k}^{(1)}}\}$ and $\{A_{x_{n_k}^{(2)}}\}$ of $\{A_{x_n}\}$ with $A_{x_{n_k}^{(1)}} \rightarrow c_1$ and $A_{x_{n_k}^{(2)}} \rightarrow c_2$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, but $c_1 \neq c_2$. By the construction of A_{x_n} ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi^{-1}(A_{x_{n_k}^{(1)}}) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)\Phi^{-1}(A_{x_{n_k}^{(1)}} + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x_{n_k}^{(1)}(r), x_{n_k}^{(1)\prime}(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x_{n_k}^{(1)}(s), x_{n_k}^{(1)\prime}(s))} ds \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x_{n_k}^{(1)}(r), x_{n_k}^{(1)\prime}(t)) dr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$, using Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, the above equality implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi^{-1}(A_{x_0}) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)\Phi^{-1}(A_{x_0} + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x_0(r), x_0'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x_0(s), x_0'(s))} ds \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(r, x_0(r), x_0'(t)) dr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{A_{x_0}\}$ is unique with respect to x_0 , we get $c_1 = A_{x_0}$. Similarly, $c_2 = A_{x_0}$. Thus $c_1 = c_2$, a contradiction. So, for any $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, one has $A_{x_n} \rightarrow A_{x_0}$, which means $A_x : X \rightarrow R$ is continuous.

Step 2. We show that T is continuous on X . Since A_x is continuous, then B_x is continuous too. From the continuity of A_x and B_x , and since f, g, h are Caratheodory functions, the result follows.

To prove that T maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets, we must prove that TD is relative compact. Recall $W \subset X$ is relatively compact if

- (i) it is bounded,
- (ii) both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in W\}$ and $\{\rho(t)(Tx)'\} : x \in W\}$ are equi-continuous on any closed subinterval of $(-\infty, +\infty)$,
- (iii) both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in W\}$ and $\{\rho(t)(Tx)'\} : x \in W\}$ are equi-convergent at $t = -\infty$,
- (iv) both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in W\}$ and $\{\rho(t)(Tx)'\} : x \in W\}$ are equi-convergent at $t = +\infty$.

Hence we must do the following three steps.

Step 3. We show that T maps bounded subsets into bounded sets. Let $D \subseteq X$ be a given bounded set. Then, there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that $D \subseteq \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq M_0\}$. Then there exists $\phi_{M_0} \in L^1(R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x(t), x'(t))| &= \left| f\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\rho(t)}\rho(t)x'(t)\right) \right| \leq \phi_{M_0}(t), \quad t \in R, \\ |g(t, x(t), x'(t))| &\leq \phi_{M_0}(t), \quad |h(t, x(t), x'(t))| \leq \phi_{M_0}(t), \quad t \in R. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr < \infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr < \infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_x| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(s) ds + \Phi \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(s) ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{M\rho(r)} dr} \right) =: M_1 < \infty, \\ |B_x| &= \left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_u^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(u)a(u, x(u), x'(u))} du ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_u^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(u)a(u, x(u), x'(u))} du ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\ &\quad + \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds \Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr)}{m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\ &\quad + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds \Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr)}{m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} =: M_2 < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|(Tx)(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)} &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+\tau(t)} |B_x + \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds|, & t \geq 0, \\ \frac{1}{1+\tau(t)} |B_x - \int_t^0 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds|, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} M_2 + \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{1+\tau(t)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_s^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr), & t \geq 0, \\ M_2 + \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{1+\tau(t)} \int_t^0 \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_s^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr), & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} M_2 + \frac{1}{m} \Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr), & t \geq 0, \\ M_2 + \frac{1}{m} \Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr), & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \\ &=: M_3 < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t)|(Tx)'(t)| &= \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_t^\infty f(u, x(u), x'(u)) du)|}{a(t, x(t), x'(t))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \Phi^{-1}\left(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr\right) =: M_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|(Tx)\| = \max\left\{\sup_{t \in R} \frac{|(Tx)(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)}, \sup_{t \in R} \rho(t)|(Tx)'(t)|\right\} \leq \max\{M_3, M_4\} < +\infty.$$

So, $\{Tx: x \in D\}$ is bounded.

Step 4. Let D be a bounded subset of X . We prove that both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)}: x \in D\}$ and $\{\rho(Tx)': x \in D\}$ are equi-continuous on each finite subinterval $[-K, K]$ on R . Suppose that $D \subset \{x \in X: \|x\| \leq M_0\}$. For any $K > 0, t_1, t_2 \in [-K, K]$ with $t_1 \leq t_2$ and $x \in X$, since f, g, h are Caratheodory functions, then there exists $\phi_{M_0} \in L^1(R)$ such that (2.7) and (2.8) hold. One sees that (2.6) holds.

First, we consider $|\rho(t_1)(Tx)'(t_1) - \rho(t_2)(Tx)'(t_2)|$. One sees that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\rho(t_1)(Tx)'(t_1) - \rho(t_2)(Tx)'(t_2)| \\ &= \left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr) - \Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|}{a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))} \\ &+ \left| \Phi^{-1}\left(A_x + \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr\right) \left| \frac{1}{a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))} - \frac{1}{a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))} \right| \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr) - \Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|}{m} \\ &+ \Phi^{-1}\left(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr\right) \left| \frac{1}{a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))} - \frac{1}{a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| A_x + \int_t^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 =: r,$$

and $\Phi^{-1}(s)$ is uniformly continuous on $[-r, r]$, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\mu > 0$ such that $|s_1 - s_2| < \mu$ with $s_1, s_2 \in [-r, r]$ implies that $|\Phi^{-1}(s_1) - \Phi^{-1}(s_2)| < m/2\epsilon$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Phi(\rho(t_1)a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))(Tx)'(t_1)) - \Phi(\rho(t_2)a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))(Tx)'(t_2))| \\ &= \left| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right| \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \phi_M(r) dr \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2, \end{aligned}$$

then there exists $\sigma_1 > 0$ such that $|t_2 - t_1| < \sigma_1$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Phi(\rho(t_1)a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))(Tx)'(t_1)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi(\rho(t_2)a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))(Tx)'(t_2)) \right| < \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|t_1 - t_2| < \sigma_1$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \rho(t_1)a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))(Tx)'(t_1) - \rho(t_2)a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))(Tx)'(t_2) \right| \\ & = \left| \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\rho(t_1)a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))(Tx)'(t_1))) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\rho(t_2)a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))(Tx)'(t_2))) \right| \\ & = \left| \Phi^{-1} \left(A_x + \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi^{-1} \left(A_x + \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right) \right| < \frac{m}{2} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/a(t, x(t), x'(t))$ is uniformly continuous on $[-K, K]$, then there exists $\sigma_2 > 0$ such that $|t_2 - t_1| < \sigma_2$ implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{a(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1))} - \frac{1}{a(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))} \right| < \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr)} \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Hence $|t_1 - t_2| < \min\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ with $t_1, t_2 \in [-K, K]$ implies that

$$|\rho(t_1)(Tx)'(t_1) - \rho(t_2)(Tx)'(t_2)| < \epsilon. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, we consider $|(Tx)(t_1)/(1 + \tau(t_1)) - (Tx)(t_2)/(1 + \tau(t_2))|$.

Case 1. $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq K$. By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(Tx)(t_1)}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{(Tx)(t_2)}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \leq |B_x| \left| \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \\ & + \left| \frac{\int_0^{t_1} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{\int_0^{t_2} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \\ & \leq M_2 |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| + \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_1)} \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right) \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \int_0^{t_2} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds \\ & \leq M_2 |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| + \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{m} \left| \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \int_0^{t_2} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right) \\ & \leq M_2 |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| + \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{m} |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $-K \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 0$. We have similarly that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(Tx)(t_1)}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{(Tx)(t_2)}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \\ & \leq M_2 |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| + \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{m} |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $-K \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq K$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(Tx)(t_1)}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{(Tx)(t_2)}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \\ & = |B_x| \left| \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{1}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\int_{t_1}^0 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t_1)} + \frac{\int_0^{t_2} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| \\ & \leq M_2 |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| + \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{m} |\tau(t_1) - \tau(t_2)| \Phi^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr + M_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Cases 1-3, we get

$$\frac{(Tx)(t_1)}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{(Tx)(t_2)}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2.$$

Then there exists $\sigma_3 > 0$ such that $|t_1 - t_2| < \sigma_3$ with $t_1, t_2 \in [-K, K]$ implies

$$\left| \frac{(Tx)(t_1)}{1 + \tau(t_1)} - \frac{(Tx)(t_2)}{1 + \tau(t_2)} \right| < \epsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

Then (2.9) and (2.10) imply that both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in D\}$ and $\{\rho(Tx)' : x \in D\}$ are equi-continuous on $[-K, K]$. So both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in D\}$ and $\{\rho(Tx)' : x \in D\}$ are equi-continuous on each finite subinterval on R .

Step 5. Let D be a bounded subset of X . We show that both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in D\}$ and $\{\rho(Tx)' : x \in D\}$ are equi-convergent at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(Tx)(t)}{1 + \tau(t)} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|B_x|}{1 + \tau(t)} + \left| \frac{\int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t)} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{M_2}{1 + \tau(t)} + \left| \frac{\int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds - \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{\rho(s)a_+} ds - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{M_2 + \Phi^{-1}(M_1)/a_+}{1 + \tau(t)} + \frac{\int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(s, x(s), x'(s))} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| ds}{1 + \tau(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to know that there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that $t > T_1$ implies

$$0 < \frac{M_2 + \Phi^{-1}(M_1)/a_+}{1 + \tau(t)} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad t > T_1.$$

Similarly to Step 4, we can get that $\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_t^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr) \rightarrow \Phi^{-1}(A_x)$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Together with that

$$a(t, x(t), x'(t)) = a\left(t, (1 + \tau(t)) \frac{x(t)}{1 + \tau(t)}, \frac{1}{\rho(t)} \rho(t) x'(t)\right) \rightarrow a_+$$

uniformly as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we know that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_t^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(t, x(t), x'(t))} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then there exists $T_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_t^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(t, x(t), x'(t))} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad t > T_2.$$

Then

$$\left| \rho(t)(Tx)'(t) - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad t > T_2. \tag{2.11}$$

Furthermore, $t > \max\{T_1, T_2\} =: T_3$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(Tx)(t)}{1 + \tau(t)} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(s, x(s), x'(s))} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| ds}{1 + \tau(t)} \\ & = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\int_0^{T_3} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(s, x(s), x'(s))} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| ds}{1 + \tau(t)} \\ & \quad + \frac{\int_{T_3}^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{a(s, x(s), x'(s))} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| ds}{1 + \tau(t)} \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\int_0^{T_3} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr)}{m} + \frac{\Phi^{-1}(M_1)}{a_+} \right)}{1 + \tau(t)} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\int_{T_3}^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds}{1 + \tau(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that there exists $T_4 > T_3$ such that

$$\frac{\int_0^{T_3} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds \left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(M_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{M_0}(r) dr)}{m} + \frac{\Phi^{-1}(M_1)}{a_+} \right)}{1 + \tau(t)} < \epsilon, \quad t > T_4.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{(Tx)(t)}{1 + \tau(t)} - \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x)}{a_+} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = 2\epsilon, \quad t > T_4. \tag{2.12}$$

So (2.11) and (2.12) imply that both $\{\rho(Tx)' : x \in D\}$ and $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in D\}$ are equi-convergent at $+\infty$.

Similarly we can prove that both $\{\frac{Tx}{1+\tau(t)} : x \in D\}$ and $\{\rho(Tx)' : x \in D\}$ are equi-convergent at $-\infty$. The details are omitted.

From Steps 3–5, we see that T maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets. Therefore, the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous. The proof is complete. \square

3 Main Theorems

In this section, the main results on the existence of solutions of BVP (1.7) are established.

Let L and L_n be defined in Section 1. For nonnegative functions a, b, c, a_1, b_1, c_1 and $a_2, b_2, c_2 \in L^1(R)$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &= \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1 + \int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds}{m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds}, \\ \Delta_1 &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_1(r) + c_1(r)] dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_2(r) + c_2(r)] dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \\ &\quad + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(r) dr \right) + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c(r) dr \right), \\ \Delta_2 &= L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_2(r) + c_2(r)] dr}{m(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds)} \\ &\quad + \left(L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(r) dr \right) + L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c(r) dr \right) \right) / m. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that there exist nonnegative functions a, b, c, a_1, b_1, c_1 and $a_2, b_2, c_2 \in L^1(R)$ satisfying $\Delta_1 < 1, \Delta_2 < 1$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| &\leq a(t) + b(t)\Phi(|x|) + c(t)\Phi(|y|), \quad x, y \in R, t \in R, \\ \left| g\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| &\leq a_1(t) + b_1(t)|x| + c_1(t)|y|, \quad x, y \in R, t \in R, \\ \left| h\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| &\leq a_2(t) + b_2(t)|x| + c_2(t)|y|, \quad x, y \in R, t \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Then BVP (1.7) has at least one solution.

Proof. We will apply Lemma 1 to prove this theorem. Let X and T be defined in Section 2. From Lemma 3, $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a completely continuous operator. Let

$$M_5 = \max \left\{ \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_1(r) dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_2(r) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 \right.$$

$$\times \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(r) dr \right), L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_2(r) dr}{m(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds)} + \frac{L_2 L_3 \Phi^{-1}(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(r) dr)}{m} \Big\}.$$

Choose

$$M_0 > M_5 / (1 - \max\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}). \tag{3.1}$$

Now we define $\Omega = \{x \in X : \|x\| < M_0\}$. We will show that $T(\partial\Omega) \subset \bar{\Omega}$. In fact, if $x \in \partial\Omega$, with $\|Tx\| \geq M_0$, then by definition of norm $\|\cdot\|$ we have

$$0 \leq |x(t)| / (1 + \tau(t)) \leq M_0, \quad \rho(t)|x''(t)| \leq M_0, \quad t \in R.$$

By the definition of T , together with (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |B_x| &= \left| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_u^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(u)a(u, x(u), x'(u))} du ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_u^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(u)a(u, x(u), x'(u))} du ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + \int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds}{m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\ &\quad \times \Phi^{-1} \left(\Phi \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(s, x(s), x'(s))| ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \right) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in R} |Tx(t)| / (1 + \tau(t)) \\ &= \sup_{t \in R} \begin{cases} \left| \frac{B_x}{1 + \tau(t)} + \frac{\int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t)} \right|, & t \geq 0, \\ \left| \frac{B_x}{1 + \tau(t)} - \frac{\int_t^0 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t)} \right|, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in R} \begin{cases} \frac{|B_x|}{1 + \tau(t)} + \frac{\int_0^t \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t)}, & t \geq 0, \\ \frac{|B_x|}{1 + \tau(t)} + \frac{\int_t^0 \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr)}{\rho(s)a(s, x(s), x'(s))} ds}{1 + \tau(t)}, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sup_{t \in R} \begin{cases} |B_x| + \frac{\int_0^t \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|}{\rho(s)} ds}{m(1 + \tau(t))}, & t \geq 0, \\ |B_x| + \frac{\int_t^0 \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_s^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|}{\rho(s)} ds}{m(1 + \tau(t))}, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \sup_{t \in R} \begin{cases} |B_x| + \frac{\int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds |\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|}{m(1 + \tau(t))}, & t \geq 0, \\ |B_x| + \frac{\int_t^0 \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds |\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|}{m(1 + \tau(t))}, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \\
 &\leq |B_x| + |\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|/m \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1 + \int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds}{m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \Phi^{-1} \left(\Phi \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \right) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right) \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sigma_0 \Phi^{-1} \left(\Phi \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \right) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right) \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sigma_0 L_2 \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} + \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [a_1(r) + b_1(r) \frac{|x(r)|}{1 + \tau(r)} + c_1(r) \rho(r) |x'(r)|] dr / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sigma_0 L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [a_2(r) + b_2(r) \frac{|x(r)|}{1 + \tau(r)} + c_2(r) \rho(r) |x'(r)|] dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \\
 &\quad + \sigma_0 L_2 \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[a(r) + b(r) \Phi \left(\frac{|x(r)|}{1 + \tau(r)} \right) + c(r) \Phi(\rho(r) |x'(r)|) \right] dr \right) \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [a_1(r) + b_1(r) \|x\| + c_1(r) \|x'\|] dr / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sigma_0 L_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [a_2(r) + b_2(r) \|x\| + c_2(r) \|x'\|] dr / \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sigma_0 L_2 \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [a(r) + b(r)\Phi(\|x\|) + c(r)\Phi(\|x\|)] dr \right) \\
 \leq & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [a_1(r) + b_1(r)\|x\| + c_1(r)\|x\|] dr / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds \\
 & + \sigma_0 L_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [a_2(r) + b_2(r)\|x\| + c_2(r)\|x\|] dr / (1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds) \\
 & + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(r) dr \right) + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(r) dr \right) \|x\| \\
 & + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c(r) dr \right) \|x\| \\
 = & \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_1(r) dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_2(r) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(r) dr \right) \\
 & + \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_1(r) + c_1(r)] dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_2(r) + c_2(r)] dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \right. \\
 & \left. + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(r) dr \right) + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c(r) dr \right) \right] \|x\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|Tx(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)} & \leq \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_1(r) dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_2(r) dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \\
 & + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(r) dr \right) + \Delta_1 M_0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rho(t) |(Tx)'(t)| & \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi^{-1}(A_x + \int_t^{+\infty} f(r, x(r), x'(r)) dr)|}{a(t, x(t), x'(t))} \\
 & \leq \left(L_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_2(r) dr / (1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds) + L_2 L_3 L \Phi^{-1} (2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(r) dr) \right) / m \\
 & + \frac{\|x\|}{m} \left[L_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_2(r) + c_2(r)] dr / (1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds) \right. \\
 & \left. + L_2 L_3 L \Phi^{-1} (2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(r) dr) + L_2 L_3 L \Phi^{-1} (2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c(r) dr) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rho(t) |(Tx)'(t)| & \leq L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_2(r) dr}{m(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds)} \\
 & + \frac{L_2 L_3 \Phi^{-1} (2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(r) dr)}{m} + \Delta_2 M_0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then (3.2) and (3.3) imply that

$$\|Tx\| \leq M_5 + \max\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}M_0. \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from $\|Tx\| \geq M_0$ that

$$M_0 \leq M_5 / (1 - \max\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}),$$

a contradiction to (3.1). So $T(\partial\Omega) \subset \bar{\Omega}$. Thus Lemma 1 implies that the operator T has at least one fixed point in Ω . So BVP (1.7) has at least one solution. \square

Corollary 1. Suppose that there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| g\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| dt &\leq \frac{r}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| h\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| dt &\leq \frac{r}{3\sigma_0 L_2} \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds \right), \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| dt &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi \left(\frac{r}{3\sigma_0 L_2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in [-r, r]$. Then BVP (1.7) has at least one solution.

Proof. From Lemma 3, $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a completely continuous operator. Now we define $\Omega = \{x \in X : \|x\| < r\}$. For any $x \in \partial\Omega$, $\|x\| = r$. So

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|x(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)} \leq r, \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rho(t)|x'(t)| \leq r.$$

By the assumptions, similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|Tx(t)|}{1 + \tau(t)} &\leq \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} \\ &\quad + \sigma_0 L_2 \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(s, x(s), x'(s))| ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} + \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{r}{3} + \frac{r}{3} + \frac{r}{3} = r = \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rho(t)|(Tx)'(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{m} \left(L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(s, x(s), x'(s))| ds}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} + L_2 \Phi^{-1} \left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(r, x(r), x'(r))| dr \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m3\sigma_0L_2} \left(L_2 \frac{r(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds)}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} + L_2r \right) = \frac{2r}{3m\sigma_0} < r = \|x\|.$$

So $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$. Similar to the process in Theorem 1, the result follows. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\max_{x,y \in [-d,d]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(s, (1 + \tau(s))x, \frac{1}{\rho(s)}y)| ds}{\Phi(d)} &= 0, \\ \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\max_{x,y \in [-d,d]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(s, (1 + \tau(s))x, \frac{1}{\rho(s)}y)| ds}{d} &= 0, \\ \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\max_{x,y \in [-d,d]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(s, (1 + \tau(s))x, \frac{1}{\rho(s)}y)| ds}{d} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then BVP (1.7) has at least one solution.

Proof. Let

$$\varepsilon = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds, \frac{1}{3\sigma_0L_2} \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds \right), \frac{1}{2} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{3\sigma_0L_2} \right) \right\}.$$

Then, there exists $r > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| g \left(s, (1 + \tau(s))x, \frac{1}{\rho(s)}y \right) \right| ds &\leq \frac{r}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| h \left(s, (1 + \tau(s))x, \frac{1}{\rho(s)}y \right) \right| ds &\leq \frac{r}{3\sigma_0L_2} \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds \right), \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f \left(s, (1 + \tau(s))x, \frac{1}{\rho(s)}y \right) \right| ds &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi \left(\frac{r}{3\sigma_0L_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 1, BVP (1.7) has at least one solution. The proof is complete. \square

4 An Example

Now, we present an example to illustrate Theorem 1.

Example 1. Consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} &[\Phi(e^{-|t|}a(t, x(t), x'(t))x'(t))] + \lambda \left[e^{-t^2} + \frac{1}{1+t^2} \left(1 + \left| \int_0^t e^{|s|} ds \right| \right)^{-3} [x(t)]^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|t|}{1+t^4} e^{-3|t|} [x'(t)]^3 \right] = 0, \quad t \in R, \\ &\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^t a(t, x(t), x'(t))x'(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2|s|} x(s) ds = 0, \\ &\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-t} a(t, x(t), x'(t))x'(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2|s|} x'(s) ds = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\lambda \in R$ is a constant, $\Phi(x) = |x|^2x$ is a one-dimensional p -Laplacian. Then BVP (4.1) has at least one solution if

$$|\lambda| < \frac{8}{27\pi(\sqrt[3]{2} + 1)^3}.$$

Proof. Corresponding to BVP (1.7), we have $\Phi(x) = |x|^2x$, $\rho(t) = e^{-|t|}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(t) &= \left| \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\rho(s)} \right| = \begin{cases} e^t - 1, & t \geq 0, \\ -1 + e^{-t}, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \\ a(t, x, y) &= 2 + \frac{x^2}{(1 + \tau(t))^6 + x^2} + \frac{y^2}{e^{6|t|} + y^2}, \quad \alpha(t) = \beta(t) = e^{-2|t|}, \\ f(t, x, y) &= \lambda \left[e^{-t^2} + \frac{1}{1 + t^2} \left(1 + \left| \int_0^t e^{|s|} ds \right| \right)^{-3} x^3 + \frac{|t|}{1 + t^4} e^{-3|t|} y^3 \right], \end{aligned}$$

and $g(t, x, y) = h(t, x, y) \equiv 0$.

One can show that

- $\rho \in C^0(R, [0, +\infty))$ with $\rho(t) > 0$ for all $t \in R$ satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds = +\infty, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} ds = +\infty.$$

We find that

- $a : R \times R \times R \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous and satisfies

$$2 \leq a(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, y/\rho(t)) \leq 4, \quad t \in R, \quad x \in R, \quad y \in R$$

and for each $r > 0$, $|x|, |y| \leq r$ imply that

$$a(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, y/\rho(t)) = 2 + \frac{x^2}{(1 + \tau(t))^4 + x^2} + \frac{y^2}{e^{4|t|} + y^2} \rightarrow a_{\pm\infty} = 2$$

uniformly as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

- $\alpha, \beta : R \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds > 0, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{dr}{\rho(r)} ds < +\infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{dr}{\rho(r)} ds < +\infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{\rho(s)} ds < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that $(s + t)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq s^{\frac{1}{3}} + t^{\frac{1}{3}}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$.

- $\Phi(x) = |x|^2x$, is continuous and strictly increasing on R , $\Phi(0) = 0$ and its inverse function is $\Phi^{-1}(x) = |x|^{-\frac{2}{3}}x$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\Phi^{-1}(0) = 0$ is continuous too, moreover Φ^{-1} satisfies that there exist constants $L > 0$ and $L_n > 0$ such that $\Phi^{-1}(x_1x_2) \leq L\Phi^{-1}(x_1)\Phi^{-1}(x_2)$ with $L = 1$ and

$$\Phi^{-1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \leq L_n[\Phi^{-1}(x_1) + \dots + \Phi^{-1}(x_n)]$$

holds for all $x_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with $L_n = 1$.

- f, g, h defined on R^3 are nonnegative Caratheodory functions. To apply Theorem 1, choose

$$\begin{aligned}
 a(t) &= |\lambda|e^{-t^2}, & b(t) &= |\lambda|\frac{1}{1+t^2}, & c(t) &= |\lambda|\frac{|t|}{1+t^4}, \\
 a_1(t) &= a_2(t) = b_1(t) = b_2(t) = c_1(t) = c_2(t) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that $a, b, c, a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2 \in L^1(R)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| f\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| &\leq a(t) + b(t)\Phi(|x|) + c(t)\Phi(|y|), & x, y \in R, t \in R, \\
 \left| g\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| &\leq a_1(t) + b_1(t)|x| + c_1(t)|y|, & x, y \in R, t \in R, \\
 \left| h\left(t, (1 + \tau(t))x, \frac{1}{\rho(t)}y\right) \right| &\leq a_2(t) + b_2(t)|x| + c_2(t)|y|, & x, y \in R, t \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_0 &= \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1 + \int_0^{+\infty} \alpha(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha(s) \int_s^0 \frac{1}{\rho(u)} du ds}{m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} = \frac{3}{2}, \\
 \Delta_1 &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_1(r) + c_1(r)] dr}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds} + \sigma_0 L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_2(r) + c_2(r)] dr}{1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds} \\
 &\quad + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1}\left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(r) dr\right) + \sigma_0 L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1}\left(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c(r) dr\right) \\
 &= \frac{3}{2} |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{3}} ([2\pi]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \pi^{\frac{1}{3}}), \\
 \Delta_2 &= L_2 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [b_2(r) + c_2(r)] dr}{m(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{M\rho(s)} ds)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1}(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(r) dr) + L_2 L_3 L\Phi^{-1}(2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c(r) dr)}{m} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{3}} ([2\pi]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \pi^{\frac{1}{3}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that BVP (4.1) has at least one solution if

$$|\lambda| < \frac{8}{27\pi(\sqrt[3]{2} + 1)^3}. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Alessandro Calamai for his great help in improving the exposition of the paper. The author also thanks the anonymous referees for their careful reading of this manuscript and for suggesting some useful stylistic changes.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal. *Boundary Value Problems for Higher Order Differential Equations*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1986.
- [2] C. Avramescu and C. Vladimirescu. Limits of solutions of a perturbed linear differential equation. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **3**:1–11, 2002.
- [3] C. Avramescu and C. Vladimirescu. Existence of solutions to second order ordinary differential equations having finite limits at $\pm\infty$. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **18**:1–12, 2004.
- [4] C. Avramescu and C. Vladimirescu. Existence of homoclinic solutions to a nonlinear second order ODE, dynamics of continuous, discrete and impulsive systems. *Ser. A, Math. Anal.*, **15**:481–491, 2008.
- [5] B. Bianconi and F. Papalini. Non-autonomous boundary value problems on the real line. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **15**:759–776, 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2006.15.759>.
- [6] A. Cabada and J.A. Cid. Heteroclinic solutions for non-autonomous boundary value problems with singular Φ -Laplacian operators. In *Dynamical Systems, Differential Equations and Applications. 7th AIMS Conference*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., suppl., pp. 118–122, 2009.
- [7] A. Calamai. Heteroclinic solutions of boundary value problems on the real line involving singular Φ -Laplacian operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **378**:667–679, 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.01.056>.
- [8] J. Chamberlain, L. Kong and Q. Kong. Nodal solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems. *Mathematical Modelling and Applications*, **14**:435–450, 2009.
- [9] R. Čiegis, A. Štikonas, O. Štikonienė and O. Suboč. Stationary problems with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Math. Model. Anal.*, **6**(2):178–191, 2001.
- [10] R. Čiegis and N. Tumanova. Numerical solution of parabolic problems with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **31**(10):1318–1329, 2010.
- [11] G. Cupini, C. Marcelli and F. Papalini. Heteroclinic solutions of boundary value problems on the real line involving general nonlinear differential operators. *Differential Integral Equations*, **24**:619–644, 2011.
- [12] G. Cupini, C. Marcelli and F. Papalini. On the solvability of a boundary value problem on the real line. *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2011**(26):1–17, 2011.
- [13] K. Deimling. *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1985.
- [14] W. Ge. *Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations*. Science Press, Beijing, 2007.
- [15] V.A. Il'in and E.I. Moiseev. Nonlocal boundary-value problem of the second kind for a Sturm–Liouville operator. *Differ. Equ.*, **23**:979–987, 1987.
- [16] C. Marcelli and F. Papalini. Heteroclinic connections for fully non-linear non-autonomous second-order differential equations. *J. Differential Equations*, **241**:160–183, 2007. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2007.05.038>.
- [17] C.G. Philos and I.K. Purnaras. A boundary value problem on the whole line to second order nonlinear differential equations. *Georgian Math. J.*, **17**:241–252, 2010.

- [18] I. Rachunkova, S. Stanek and M. Tvrdy. Singularities and Laplacians in boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations. In A. Canada, P. Drabek and A. Fonde(Eds.), *Handbook of Differential Equations, Ordinary Differential Equations, vol. 3*, pp. 606–723. Elsevier, 2006.
- [19] Y. Wang and W. Ge. Existence of triple positive solutions for multi-point boundary value problems with a one dimensional p-Laplacian. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **54**:793–807, 2007.