

On the Properties of a Class of Polyharmonic Functions

Suheil Khuri

American University of Sharjah
Sharjah, United Arab Emirates
E-mail: skhoury@aus.edu

Received March 30, 2012; revised January 16, 2013; published online April 1, 2013

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to investigate some motivated geometrical aspects and properties of polyharmonic functions (\mathcal{PH}) including starlikeness, convexity and univalence. A polyharmonicity preserving complex operator is also introduced. Further, a new subclass of polyharmonic functions (\mathcal{CPH}) is defined and certain characteristics of elements of this subclass are examined and obtained. In particular, we extend Landau's theorem to functions in this subclass, and consider the Goodman–Saff conjecture and prove that the conjecture is true for mappings belonging to \mathcal{CPH} .

Keywords: polyharmonic function, univalent, starlike, Landau's Theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45; 31B30.

1 Introduction

Complex-valued harmonic functions that are univalent and sense preserving in the unit disk U can be written in the form $f = g + \bar{h}$, where h and g are analytic in U . A C^2 continuous complex-valued function $F = u + iv$ in a domain $D \subset C$ is biharmonic if the Laplacian of F is harmonic, that is ΔF is harmonic in D if F satisfies the biharmonic equation $\Delta(\Delta F) = 0$, where $\Delta = 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}$ is the Laplacian operator. The class of biharmonic functions includes the class of harmonic functions and is a subclass of the class of polyharmonic functions. More generally, a $2p$ -continuously differentiable complex-valued function $F = u + iv$ in a domain $D \subset C$ is p -harmonic (polyharmonic) if F satisfies the p -harmonic equation

$$\Delta^p F := \Delta \Delta^{p-1} F = 0.$$

When $p = 1$ the mapping is basically harmonic, while the case $p = 2$ yields the biharmonic mapping. If F is a biharmonic function then it has the representation

$$F = r^2 G + H = |z|^2 G + H,$$

where $G(z)$ and $H(z)$ are complex-valued harmonic functions in D . Throughout this paper we consider p -harmonic mappings of the unit disk $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$.

Concerning p -harmonic mappings, we have the following characterization which is crucial in our investigations (see [8]).

Proposition. *If F is a p -harmonic function in a stardomain D with center 0 then it has the representation:*

$$F = F(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} F_n, \tag{1.1}$$

where $F_n(z)$'s are complex-valued harmonic functions in D , and $r^{2n} = |z|^{2n} = (z\bar{z})^n$.

A harmonic function F is *locally univalent* if the Jacobian of F , J_F ,

$$J_F = |F_z|^2 - |F_{\bar{z}}|^2 \neq 0.$$

A function F is *orientation preserving* if

$$J_F = |F_z|^2 - |F_{\bar{z}}|^2 > 0.$$

A set $E \subset C$ is said to be *starlike with respect to a point* $w_0 \in E$ if and only if the linear segment joining w_0 to every other point $w \in E$ lies entirely in E , while a set E is said to be *convex* if and only if it is starlike with respect to each of its points, that is, if and only if the linear segment joining any two points of E lies entirely in E . A sense-preserving harmonic mapping f is said to be *starlike* if its range is starlike with respect to the origin. In other words, if some point $w_0 = f(z_0)$ is in the range of f , then so is the entire radial segment from 0 to w_0 . If f has a smooth extension to the closed disk, an equivalent requirement is that $\arg\{f(e^{i\theta})\}$ be a nondecreasing function of θ , or that $\frac{d}{d\theta} \arg\{f(e^{i\theta})\} \geq 0$. For analytic function f , this condition takes the form $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > 0$ for $z \in U$. We say that a univalent polyharmonic (harmonic) function F , with $F(0) = 0$, is *starlike* if the curve $F(re^{it})$ is starlike with respect to the origin for each $0 < r < 1$. In other words, F is *starlike* if $\frac{\partial \arg F(re^{it})}{\partial t} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{zF_z - \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}}{F} > 0$ for $z \neq 0$. For accuracy, it is worth noting that p -harmonic function is a function of z and \bar{z} , that is, $F = F(z, \bar{z})$ and therefore $F = F(re^{it}, re^{-it})$.

A univalent polyharmonic (harmonic) function, F , with $F(0) = 0$ and also $\frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t} \neq 0$ whenever $0 < r < 1$, is said to be *convex* if the curve $F(re^{it})$ is convex for each $0 < r < 1$. In other words, F is *convex* if $\frac{\partial \arg \frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t}}{\partial t} > 0$ for $z \neq 0$.

This paper is motivated by the recent work and development on the subject of biharmonic functions [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 16]. These are solutions to the biharmonic equation that arises in physical applications including linear elasticity theory, Stokes flow and radar imaging problems (see [12] and the references within). The purpose of this article is to extend such results to a class of polyharmonic functions (see [14, 17]); some characteristics and geometrically

motivated properties related to starlikeness, convexity and univalence are examined. A complex operator that preserves polyharmonicity is introduced. Furthermore, we generalize Landau’s theorem to functions belonging to this class and show that the Goodman–Saff conjecture [6, 18] is valid for such functions as well. Recently Landau’s theorem has been extended to biharmonic mappings [1], for planar p -harmonic mappings [7], as well as to log- p -harmonic mappings [15]. For more details on harmonic mappings and the various definitions introduced see [9, 10, 11].

2 Properties of the Class \mathcal{PH}

In this section, we will consider a subclass of polyharmonic functions:

$$\mathcal{PH} = \left\{ F: F = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} F_n, \text{ where } F_n(z)\text{'s are complex-valued harmonic functions in the unit disk } U \right\}.$$

Some general properties of polyharmonic functions that are elements in \mathcal{PH} are obtained, most importantly, a complex operator that preserves polyharmonicity is also introduced.

First, define the linear operator \mathcal{L} by $\mathcal{L} = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$. The definition leads to the following two properties:

- $\mathcal{L}[\alpha f + \beta g] = \alpha \mathcal{L}[f] + \beta \mathcal{L}[g]$,
- $\mathcal{L}[fg] = f \mathcal{L}[g] + g \mathcal{L}[f]$,

where f, g are C^1 functions and α, β are complex constants.

Theorem 1. *Let $F \in \mathcal{PH}$, where $F = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} F_n$. Then*

- a. $\mathcal{L}[r^{2n}] = 0$ for $n \geq 0$,
- b. $\mathcal{L}[F] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} \mathcal{L}[F_n]$,
- c. $\mathcal{L}^m[F] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} \mathcal{L}^m[F_n]$,

where $m \geq 2$ is an integer.

Proof. Let $F = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} F_n \in \mathcal{PH}$.

- a. The case $n = 0$ is trivial. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[r^{2n}] &= \mathcal{L}[(r^2)^n] = z[(r^2)^n]_z - \bar{z}[(r^2)^n]_{\bar{z}} \\ &= z[nr^{2n-2}z] - \bar{z}[nr^{2n-2}\bar{z}] = nr^{2n} - nr^{2n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- b. Using the result in part (a) and the product rule property of the operator \mathcal{L} , we get

$$\mathcal{L}[F] = \mathcal{L}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} F_n\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{L}[r^{2n}] F_n + \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} \mathcal{L}[F_n] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} \mathcal{L}[F_n].$$

c. Using part (a) and (b) repeatedly (namely, mathematical induction), part (c) follows. \square

Corollary 1. The operator \mathcal{L} is a p -harmonicity preserving operator.

Proof. This obviously follows from part (b) of Theorem 1 and the fact that $\mathcal{L}[F_n]$ is harmonic since F_n 's are harmonic; it was proven in Lemma 3 (a) reference [2] that \mathcal{L} is a harmonicity preserving operator. To preserve self-sufficiency one can easily prove that $\Delta\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}\Delta$. Then

$$\Delta^p F = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta^p \mathcal{L}F = \mathcal{L}\Delta^p F = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}F \text{ is polyharmonic. } \square$$

Theorem 2. *If F is polyharmonic of order p , then F_z and $F_{\bar{z}}$ are polyharmonic of order at most p .*

Proof. Let $F = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} F_n \in \mathcal{PH}$, where the F_n 's are harmonic. Then,

$$F_z = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n(r^2)^{n-1} \bar{z}F_n + (r^2)^n (F_n)_z] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [nr^{2n-2} \bar{z}F_n + r^{2n} (F_n)_z], \quad (2.1)$$

$$F_{\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n(r^2)^{n-1} zF_n + (r^2)^n (F_n)_{\bar{z}}] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [nr^{2n-2} zF_n + r^{2n} (F_n)_{\bar{z}}]. \quad (2.2)$$

Since F_n is harmonic, that is $(F_n)_{z\bar{z}} = 0$, therefore we have

$$(\bar{z}F_n)_{z\bar{z}} = (\bar{z}(F_n)_z)_{\bar{z}} = \bar{z}(F_n)_{z\bar{z}} + (F_n)_z = (F_n)_z,$$

and hence

$$(\bar{z}F_n)_{zz\bar{z}\bar{z}} = ((F_n)_z)_{z\bar{z}} = ((F_n)_{z\bar{z}})_z = 0.$$

This means that $\bar{z}F_n$ is biharmonic and therefore it can be expressed in the form

$$\bar{z}F_n = r^2 K_n + G_n,$$

where F_n and G_n are harmonic. Substituting the latter expression into equation (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_z &= \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [nr^{2n} K_n + nr^{2n-2} G_n + r^{2n} (F_n)_z] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} (nK_n + (F_n)_z) + \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n-2} nG_n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since K_n , G_n and F_n are harmonic so nG_n , nK_n , $(F_n)_z$ and $(nK_n + (F_n)_z)$ are also harmonic. Therefore, the first term on the right-hand side of equation (2.3), namely, the term $\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n} (nK_n + (F_n)_z)$, is polyharmonic of order at most p while the second term $\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} r^{2n-2} nG_n$ is polyharmonic of order $p - 1$. This means that the sum of both series, which is F_z , yields a polyharmonic function of order at most p . A similar argument can be used to show that $F_{\bar{z}}$ is polyharmonic of order at most p .

Alternatively, we can prove the theorem in the following way:

$$\Delta^p F = 0 \Rightarrow (\Delta^p F)_z = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta^p F_z = 0. \quad \square$$

3 Properties of the Subclass \mathcal{CPH}

In this section, we will consider the following subclass of polyharmonic functions:

$$\mathcal{CPH} = \left\{ G: G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F, \text{ where } F(z) \text{ is a complex-valued harmonic mapping in the unit disk } U \text{ and } \lambda_n, n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \right. \\ \left. (\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_{p-1}^2 \neq 0), \text{ are constants} \right\}.$$

The λ_i 's are real constants and are not all zero. Though we need to emphasize that some of the theorems and results included in this paper can be easily generalized and are true for complex values of λ_i 's. Some geometrical properties related to starlikeness, convexity and univalence for elements in \mathcal{CPH} are obtained. Further, we extend Landau's theorem to functions belonging to this subclass, and show that the Goodman–Saff conjecture is true for mappings belonging to \mathcal{CPH} .

Theorem 3. *Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$. Then*

$$a) \frac{\mathcal{L}[G]}{G} = \frac{\mathcal{L}[F]}{F}, \quad b) \frac{\mathcal{L}^m[G]}{G} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^m[F]}{F}, \quad c) \frac{\mathcal{L}^m[G]}{\mathcal{L}[G]} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^m[F]}{\mathcal{L}[F]},$$

where $m \geq 2$ is an integer.

Proof. From part (b) of Theorem 1 we have $\mathcal{L}[G] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \mathcal{L}[F]$. Dividing both sides by G , part (a) follows. The following result can be obtained by mathematical induction:

$$\mathcal{L}^m[G] = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \mathcal{L}^m[F],$$

hence part (b) follows. The proof of part (c) is similar to part (b). \square

Theorem 4. *Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$ be univalent, where F is harmonic and $F(0) = 0$. Then*

- a. G is starlike if F is starlike.
- b. Assume $\mathcal{L}[G] \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}[F] \neq 0$ for $z \neq 0$. Then G is convex if F is convex.
- c. If F is convex and $\mathcal{L}[G]$ is univalent then $\mathcal{L}[G]$ is starlike.

Proof. From the definition of the operator \mathcal{L} and that for starlikeness, F is starlike if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zF_z - \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}}{F} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}[F]}{F} \right) > 0.$$

From Theorem 3.a and the fact that F is starlike implies that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}[G]}{G}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}[F]}{F}\right) > 0.$$

This proves part (a). We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(re^{it}) = F_z \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + F_{\bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial t} = izF_z - i\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} = i\mathcal{L}[F].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\operatorname{arg} \frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t}\right) &= \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^2 F(re^{it})}{\partial t^2}}{\frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t}}\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{L}[F]}{\mathcal{L}[F]}\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\right]}{\mathcal{L}[F]}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathcal{L}[i\mathcal{L}[F]]}{\mathcal{L}[F]} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{L}^2[F]}{\mathcal{L}[F]}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\operatorname{arg} \frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t}\right) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{L}[i\mathcal{L}[F]]}{i\mathcal{L}[F]} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{L}^2[F]}{\mathcal{L}[F]}.$$

From the definition of convexity and the latter equation it follows that F is convex if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{L}^2[F]}{\mathcal{L}[F]} > 0$ provided $\mathcal{L}[F] \neq 0$ for $z \neq 0$. From Theorem 3.c and the fact that F is convex we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^2[G]}{\mathcal{L}[G]}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^2[F]}{\mathcal{L}[F]}\right) > 0.$$

This means that G is convex and so the proof of part (b) is complete.

F is convex implies that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^2[F]}{\mathcal{L}[F]}\right) > 0$. Therefore from Theorem 3.c we have $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^2[G]}{\mathcal{L}[G]}\right) > 0$ as well. This means that $\mathcal{L}[G]$ is starlike and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 5. Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$ where λ_n 's are real. Then the Jacobian of G , denoted by J_G , is given by

$$J_G = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n}\right)^2 J_F + 2\left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n}\right)|F|^2 F_{st},$$

where $F_{st} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zF_z - \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}}{F}\right)$ denotes the measure of starlikeness.

Proof. Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$. Then

$$G_z = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z}F + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_z], \quad G_{\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} zF + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_{\bar{z}}].$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G_z|^2 &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z} F + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_z] \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} z \bar{F} + \lambda_n r^{2n} \bar{F}_z] \right) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-1} \right)^2 |F|^2 + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right) \bar{z} F \bar{F}_z \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \right) z \bar{F} F_z + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right)^2 |F_z|^2 \\
 &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-1} \right)^2 |F|^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right) \operatorname{Re}(z \bar{F} F_z) \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right)^2 |F_z|^2. \tag{3.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The equalities in the previous equations as well as the subsequent ones are true for real λ_n 's only. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G_{\bar{z}}|^2 &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} z F + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_{\bar{z}}] \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z} \bar{F} + \lambda_n r^{2n} \bar{F}_{\bar{z}}] \right) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-1} \right)^2 |F|^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right) \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z} \bar{F} F_{\bar{z}}) \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right)^2 |F_{\bar{z}}|^2. \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_G &= |G_z|^2 - |G_{\bar{z}}|^2 = 2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right) \operatorname{Re}(z \bar{F} F_z - \bar{z} \bar{F} F_{\bar{z}}) \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right)^2 (|F_z|^2 - |F_{\bar{z}}|^2) \\
 &= 2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right) |F|^2 F_{st} + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \right)^2 J_F. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$. Assume the function F is starlike, orientation preserving and $\lambda_n > 0, n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, then G is locally univalent in U .

Proof. F is starlike implies that $F_{st} > 0$. Further we have $J_F > 0$ which follows from the fact that F is orientation preserving. Since it is assumed that $\lambda_n > 0, n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, it follows from Theorem 5 that $J_G(z) > 0$, that is G is orientation preserving and hence $J_G(z) \neq 0$ which means that G is locally univalent. \square

Theorem 6. Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$. Then

- a. $-i \frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z - \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}],$
- b. $-\frac{\partial^2 G(re^{it})}{\partial t^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + z^2F_{zz} + \bar{z}^2F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}].$

Proof. We have

$$G_z = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [\lambda_n r^{2n} F_z + n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z}F], \quad G_{\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [\lambda_n r^{2n} F_{\bar{z}} + n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} zF],$$

$$zG_z = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z + nF], \quad \bar{z}G_{\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + nF].$$

Applying the chain rule and manipulating the previous equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t} &= izG_z - i\bar{z}G_{\bar{z}} = i(zG_z - \bar{z}G_{\bar{z}}) \\ &= i \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z + nF] - i \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + nF] \\ &= i \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z - \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}], \end{aligned}$$

and therefore part (a) follows. This result is a consequence of Theorem 3.a and can be proved as follows:

$$-i \frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}[G] = \mathcal{L}[F]G/F = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} \mathcal{L}[F].$$

Further calculation and noting that F is harmonic, that is $F_{z\bar{z}} = 0$, it follows that

$$G_{z\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} zF_z + n(n-1)\lambda_n r^{2n-4} z\bar{z}F + n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} F + n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}],$$

$$2r^2 G_{z\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} 2n\lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + nF].$$

$$G_{zz} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z}F_z + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_{zz} + n(n-1)\lambda_n r^{2n-4} \bar{z}^2 F + n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z}F_z],$$

$$z^2 G_{zz} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [2nzF_z + z^2 F_{zz} + n(n-1)F],$$

and

$$G_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} zF_{\bar{z}} + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} + n(n-1)\lambda_n r^{2n-4} z^2 F + n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} zF_z],$$

$$\bar{z}^2 G_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n-2} [2n\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + \bar{z}^2 F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} + n(n-1)F].$$

Again, using the chain rule and the above equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 G(re^{it})}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [izG_z - i\bar{z}G_{\bar{z}}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [izG_z - i\bar{z}G_{\bar{z}}] \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} [izG_z - i\bar{z}G_{\bar{z}}] \frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial t} \\ &= -zG_z - \bar{z}G_{\bar{z}} + 2|z|^2 G_{z\bar{z}} - z^2 G_{zz} - \bar{z}^2 G_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [(zF_z + nF) + (\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + nF) - 2n(zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + nF) \\ &\quad + (2nzF_z + z^2 F_{zz} + n(n-1)F) + (2n\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + \bar{z}^2 F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} + n(n-1)F)] \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} - 2nzF_z - 2n\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + 2nzF_z + z^2 F_{zz} \\ &\quad + 2n\bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + \bar{z}^2 F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}] \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + z^2 F_{zz} + \bar{z}^2 F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the proof of part (b) is complete. This result can be proven in a different fashion with the help of Theorem 3.b as follows:

$$-\frac{\partial^2 G(re^{it})}{\partial t^2} = \mathcal{L}^2[G] = \mathcal{L}^2[F]G/F = (zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + z^2 F_{zz} + \bar{z}^2 F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}})G/F. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3. Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right).$$

Proof. Using Theorem 6 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial^2 G(re^{it})}{\partial t^2}}{\frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t}} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{-\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + z^2 F_{zz} + \bar{z}^2 F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}]}{i \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} [zF_z - \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}]} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zF_z + \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}} + z^2 F_{zz} + \bar{z}^2 F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}}{zF_z - \bar{z}F_{\bar{z}}} \right) = \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial^2 F(re^{it})}{\partial t^2}}{\frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The Goodman–Saff conjecture is valid for functions belonging to the class \mathcal{CPH} . This is the statement of the next theorem.

Theorem 7. Any non-constant complex-valued function $G \in \mathcal{CPH}$ sends the subdisk $|z| < r$ onto a convex region for $r \leq \sqrt{2} - 1$.

Proof. Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$, where F is harmonic. We need the following theorem by Ruscheweyh and Salinas [18] (see Theorem 1), namely the Goodman–Saff conjecture for harmonic functions:

Let K_H denote the class of all complex-valued harmonic univalent functions f on the unit disk U with $f(U)$ convex in the direction $e^{i\phi}$. If $f \in K_H(\phi)$, $0 < r \leq r_0 = \sqrt{2} - 1$, then $f(rz) \in K_H(\phi)$.

Now we can prove the result: since G being convex, it follows from the definition of convexity that

$$G \text{ is convex} \iff \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) > 0.$$

Since F is harmonic and if we further assume that it is convex in $0 < r \leq r_0 = \sqrt{2} - 1$, then Corollary 3 implies that G is also convex because

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \frac{\partial G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \frac{\partial F(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) > 0.$$

Thus the conclusion of the theorem follows. \square

In the subsequent theorem, we show that Landau’s theorem extends to bounded polyharmonic mappings on the unit disk belonging to the class \mathcal{CPH} .

Theorem 8. Let $G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F \in \mathcal{CPH}$, $z = re^{i\theta}$, be a p -harmonic mapping of the unit disk U , where F is harmonic, such that $F(0) = 0$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, $J_G(0) = 1$ and $|F|$ is bounded by M . Then there is a constant $0 < \rho_1 < 1$ so that G is univalent in $|z| < \rho_1$, where ρ_1 is the unique solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|M} - 2\rho_1 M \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\lambda_n| \rho_1^{2n-2} - \frac{2M\rho_1^2}{(1-\rho_1)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho_1^{2n} \\ & - 2M|\lambda_0| \left(\frac{1}{(1-\rho_1)^2} - 1 \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $G(U_{\rho_1})$ contains a disk U_{R_1} where

$$R_1 = \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|M} \rho_1 - \frac{2M\rho_1}{1-\rho_1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho_1^{2n} + |\lambda_0| \rho_1 \right).$$

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{CPH}$. We have

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F + \lambda_0 F,$$

where F is harmonic, so that $F = f_1(z) + \overline{f_2(z)}$ where f_1 and f_2 are analytic in D . Therefore

$$G_z = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z} F + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_z] + \lambda_0 F_z,$$

$$G_{\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} [n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} z F + \lambda_n r^{2n} F_{\bar{z}}] + \lambda_0 F_{\bar{z}}.$$

Let $F(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n + \overline{\sum_0^\infty b_n z^n}$. For fixed $0 < \rho < 1$, choose z_1, z_2 with $z_1 \neq z_2, |z_1| < \rho$ and $|z_2| < \rho$. Then

$$G(z_1) - G(z_2) = \int_{[z_1, z_2]} G_z(z) dz + G_{\bar{z}}(z) d\bar{z}$$

$$= \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z} F + \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} f'_1 + \lambda_0 F_z \right) dz \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n\lambda_n r^{2n-2} z F + \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} f'_2 + \lambda_0 \overline{f'_2} \right) d\bar{z} \right],$$

where $[z_1, z_2]$ is the line-segment joining z_1 with z_2 . We have

$$J_F = |F_z|^2 - |F_{\bar{z}}|^2 = (|F_z| - |F_{\bar{z}}|)(|F_z| + |F_{\bar{z}}|) = \lambda_F \cdot \Lambda_F,$$

where $\lambda_F = |F_z| - |F_{\bar{z}}|$ and $\Lambda_F = |F_z| + |F_{\bar{z}}|$. Note that

$$1 = J_G(0) = |G_z(0)|^2 - |G_{\bar{z}}(0)|^2 = (|F_z(0)|^2 - |F_{\bar{z}}(0)|^2) |\lambda_0|^2 = |\lambda_0|^2 J_F(0).$$

Thus

$$\lambda_F(0) = \frac{J_F(0)}{\Lambda_F(0)} = \frac{J_G(0)}{|\lambda_0|^2 \Lambda_F(0)} = \frac{1}{|\lambda_0|^2 \Lambda_F(0)}.$$

It follows by Schwarz lemma (see Lemma 1 in reference [1]) that

$$\lambda_F(0) = \frac{1}{|\lambda_0|^2 \Lambda_F(0)} \geq \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|^2 M}.$$

Taking advantage of the triangle inequality

$$\left| \int (f_1(z) + f_2(z)) dz \right| \geq \left| \int |f_1(z)| dz - \int |f_2(z)| dz \right|$$

$$\geq \int |f_1(z)| dz - \int |f_2(z)| dz,$$

we have

$$\left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \lambda_0 (F_z(0) dz + F_{\bar{z}}(0) d\bar{z}) \right| \geq \int_{[z_1, z_2]} |\lambda_0 F_z(0) dz| - \int_{[z_1, z_2]} |\lambda_0 F_{\bar{z}}(0) d\bar{z}|$$

$$= |\lambda_0| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} |F_z(0)| |dz| - |\lambda_0| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} |F_{\bar{z}}(0)| |d\bar{z}|.$$

Since $|dz| = |d\bar{z}|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \lambda_0(F_z(0) dz + F_{\bar{z}}(0) d\bar{z}) \right| &\geq |\lambda_0| \left(\int_{[z_1, z_2]} |F_z(0)| |dz| - \int_{[z_1, z_2]} |F_{\bar{z}}(0)| |dz| \right) \\ &= |\lambda_0| \left(\int_{[z_1, z_2]} |F_z(0)| - |F_{\bar{z}}(0)| |dz| \right) = |\lambda_0| \left(\int_{[z_1, z_2]} \lambda_F(0) |dz| \right) \\ &= |z_2 - z_1| |\lambda_0| \lambda_F(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |G(z_1) - G(z_2)| &\geq \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \lambda_0(F_z(0) dz + F_{\bar{z}}(0) d\bar{z}) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n \lambda_n r^{2n-2} F(z) (\bar{z} dz + z d\bar{z}) + \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} (f'_1(z) dz + \overline{f'_2(z)} d\bar{z}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \lambda_0(F_z(z) - F_z(0)) dz + \lambda_0(F_{\bar{z}}(z) - F_{\bar{z}}(0)) d\bar{z} \right| \\ &\geq |z_2 - z_1| \left(|\lambda_0| \lambda_F(0) - 2\rho M \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) n \rho^{n-1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) n |\lambda_0| \rho^{n-1} \right) \\ &\geq |z_2 - z_1| \left(|\lambda_0| \lambda_F(0) - 2\rho M \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2M \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \rho^{n-1} - 2M |\lambda_0| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \rho^{n-1} \right) \\ &\geq |z_2 - z_1| \left(\frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|M} - 2\rho M \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2M\rho^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n} - 2M |\lambda_0| \left(\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} - 1 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the latter inequality, the function $A(\rho)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\rho) &= \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|M} - 2\rho M \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n-2} - \frac{2M\rho^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho^{2n} \\ &\quad - 2M |\lambda_0| \left(\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

can be easily shown to be a decreasing function of ρ on the interval $(0, 1)$. Further, we have $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} A(\rho) = \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|M} > 0$ and $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} A(\rho) = -\infty$. This implies that there is a unique root $\rho_1 \in (0, 1)$ of the function $A(\rho)$. This shows that $|G(z_1) - G(z_2)| \geq |z_2 - z_1| A(\rho) > 0$ for any two distinct points $z_1, z_2 \in |z| < \rho_1$, which proves the univalence of f in the disk U_{ρ_1} . Finally, proceeding in the same way, we consider any z with $|z| = \rho_1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(z)| &\geq |\lambda_0| |a_1 z + b_1 \bar{z}| - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho_1^{2n} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n z^n + b_n \bar{z}^n) \right| \\ &\quad - |\lambda_0| \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (a_n z^n + b_n \bar{z}^n) \right| \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|M} \rho_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho_1^{2n} \frac{2M\rho_1}{1-\rho_1} - |\lambda_0| \frac{2M\rho_1^2}{1-\rho_1} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda_0|M} \rho_1 - \frac{2M\rho_1}{1-\rho_1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_n| \rho_1^{2n} + |\lambda_0| \rho_1 \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The result related to Landau’s Theorem 8 was proved for biharmonic function in reference [1], then Liu [16] improved the results in [1] and obtained better estimates by establishing better coefficient estimates for bounded and normalized planar harmonic mappings. In the next theorem, we will modify the result in Theorem 8 by adopting the method of the proof in [17] in which an improved result of Landau’s theorem was proved for biharmonic mappings. It is worth mentioning that we will consider a slightly wider class of functions than those belonging to \mathcal{CPH} . We need first the following two lemmas (see [16]).

Lemma 1. [16] *Suppose that $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ is a harmonic mapping of the unit disk \mathcal{U} where $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ are analytic on \mathcal{U} . If $|f(z)| \leq M$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then*

$$|a_n|, |b_n| \leq M, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Each of the above inequalities is sharp, the extremal functions $f_1(z) = Mz^n$ and $f_2(z) = M\bar{z}^n$ yield their equalities.

Lemma 2. [16] *Suppose that $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ is a harmonic mapping of the unit disk \mathcal{U} with $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. If $J_f(0) = 1$ and $|f(z)| < M$, then*

$$|a_n|, |b_n| \leq \sqrt{M^2 - 1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

and

$$|a_n| + |b_n| \leq \sqrt{2M^2 - 2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

and

$$\lambda_f(0) \geq \lambda_0(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{M^2-1} + \sqrt{M^2+1}}, & 1 \leq M \leq M_0 = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt[4]{2\pi^2-16}}, \\ \frac{\pi}{4M}, & M > M_0 = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt[4]{2\pi^2-16}} \approx 1.1296, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_f(z) = \left| |f(z)| - |f_{\bar{z}}(z)| \right|$.

Theorem 9. Let $G(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \gamma_n r^{2n} F(z) + H(z)$, where $F(z)$ and $H(z)$ are complex-valued harmonic mappings in the unit disk U and $\gamma_n, n = 1, \dots, p - 1$ ($\gamma_1^2 + \dots + \gamma_{p-1}^2 \neq 0$) are constants, be a p -harmonic mapping of the unit disk U where $z = re^{i\theta}$. Assume that $G(0) = H(0) = J_G(0) - 1 = 0$ and $|F|$ is bounded by M_1 while $|H|$ is bounded by M_2 . Then there is a constant $0 < \rho_1 < 1$ so that G is univalent in $|z| < \rho_1$, where ρ_1 is the minimum positive root of the following equation

$$\lambda_0(M_2) - 2\rho_1 M_1 \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\gamma_n| \rho_1^{2n-2} - \frac{2M_1 \rho_1^2}{(1 - \rho_1)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho_1^{2n} - \sqrt{2M_2^2 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \rho_1)^2} - 1 \right) = 0,$$

and $G(U_{\rho_1})$ contains a disk U_{R_1} where

$$R_1 = \lambda_0(M_2) \rho_1 - 2M_1 \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho_1^{2n+1}}{1 - \rho_1} - 2M_2 \frac{\rho_1^2}{1 - \rho_1},$$

and $\lambda_0(M_2)$ is as defined in Lemma 2.

Proof. Assume $G(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \lambda_n r^{2n} F(z) + H(z)$ satisfies the hypothesis of this theorem, where

$$F(z) = F_1(z) + \overline{F_2(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n},$$

$$H(z) = H_1(z) + \overline{H_2(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n}.$$

Here F_1, F_2, H_1 and H_2 are analytic in D . Note that

$$G_z = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} [n\gamma_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z} F + \gamma_n r^{2n} F_z] + H_z,$$

$$G_{\bar{z}} = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} [n\gamma_n r^{2n-2} z F + \gamma_n r^{2n} F_{\bar{z}}] + H_{\bar{z}}.$$

For fixed $0 < \rho < 1$, choose z_1, z_2 with $z_1 \neq z_2, |z_1| < \rho$ and $|z_2| < \rho$. Then

$$G(z_1) - G(z_2) = \int_{[z_1, z_2]} G_z(z) dz + G_{\bar{z}}(z) d\bar{z}$$

$$= \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n\gamma_n r^{2n-2} \bar{z} F + \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \gamma_n r^{2n} F'_1 + H'_1 \right) dz + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n\gamma_n r^{2n-2} z F + \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \gamma_n r^{2n} F'_2 + \overline{H'_2} \right) d\bar{z} \right],$$

where $[z_1, z_2]$ is the line-segment joining z_1 with z_2 . We have

$$1 = J_G(0) = |G_z(0)|^2 - |G_{\bar{z}}(0)|^2 = |H_z(0)|^2 - |H_{\bar{z}}(0)|^2 = J_H(0).$$

It follows by Lemma 2 that $\lambda_H(0) \geq \lambda_0(M_2)$. By the hypothesis of Theorem 9 and Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$|a_n| + |b_n| \leq 2M_1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \quad |c_n| + |d_n| \leq \sqrt{2M_2 - 2} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

As in Theorem 8, by using the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G(z_1) - G(z_2)| &\geq \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} (H_z(0) dz + H_{\bar{z}}(0) d\bar{z}) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n\gamma_n r^{2n-2} F(z) (\bar{z} dz + z d\bar{z}) + \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \gamma_n r^{2n} (F_z(z) dz + F_{\bar{z}}(z) d\bar{z}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{[z_1, z_2]} (H_z(z) - H_z(0)) dz + (H_{\bar{z}}(z) - H_{\bar{z}}(0)) d\bar{z} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} (H'_1(0) dz + \overline{H'_2(0)} d\bar{z}) \right| - \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n\gamma_n r^{2n-2} F(z) (\bar{z} dz + z d\bar{z}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{[z_1, z_2]} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \gamma_n r^{2n} (F'_1(z) dz + \overline{F'_2(z)} d\bar{z}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{[z_1, z_2]} (H'_1(z) - H'_1(0)) dz + (\overline{H'_2(z)} - \overline{H'_2(0)}) d\bar{z} \right| \\ &\geq |z_2 - z_1| \left(\lambda_H(0) - 2\rho M_1 \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) n \rho^{n-1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|c_n| + |d_n|) n \rho^{n-1} \right) \\ &\geq |z_2 - z_1| \left(\lambda_0(M_2) - 2\rho M_1 \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n-2} - 2M_1 \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \rho^{n-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{2M_2^2 - 2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \rho^{n-1} \right) \\ &\geq |z_2 - z_1| \left(\lambda_0(M_2) - 2\rho M_1 \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n-2} - \frac{2M_1 \rho^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{2M_2^2 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} - 1 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, the function $\Lambda(\rho)$, defined by

$$\Lambda(\rho) = \lambda_0(M_2) - 2\rho M_1 \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n-2} - \frac{2M_1 \rho^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho^{2n} - \sqrt{2M_2^2 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} - 1 \right),$$

is a decreasing function of ρ on the interval $(0, 1)$. Also, $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \Lambda(\rho) = \lambda_0(M_2) > 0$ and $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} \Lambda(\rho) = -\infty$. Thus there is a unique root $\rho_1 \in (0, 1)$ of the function $\Lambda(\rho)$. This shows that $|G(z_1) - G(z_2)| \geq |z_2 - z_1| \Lambda(\rho) > 0$ for any two distinct points $z_1, z_2 \in |z| < \rho_1$, which proves the univalence of f in the disk U_{ρ_1} . Following a similar argument as in Theorem 8: for any z with $|z| = \rho_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(z)| &\geq |c_1 z + d_1 \bar{z}| - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho_1^{2n} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n z^n + b_n \bar{z}^n) \right| - \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (c_n z^n + d_n \bar{z}^n) \right| \\ &\geq \lambda_0(M_2) \rho_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_n| \rho_1^{2n} \frac{2M_1 \rho_1}{1-\rho_1} - \frac{2M_2 \rho_1^2}{1-\rho_1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Z. Abdulhadi and Y. Muhanna. Landau's theorem for biharmonic mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **338**:705–709, 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.05.065>.
- [2] Z. Abdulhadi, Y. Muhanna and S. Khuri. On the univalence of the log-biharmonic mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **289**(2):629–638, 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.08.051>.
- [3] Z. Abdulhadi, Y. Muhanna and S. Khuri. On univalent solutions of the biharmonic equation. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2005**(5):469–478, 2005.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/JIA.2005.469>.
- [4] Z. Abdulhadi, Y. Muhanna and S. Khuri. On some properties of solutions of the biharmonic equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **177**(1):346–351, 2006.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2005.11.013>.
- [5] Z. Abdulhadi, Y. Muhanna and S. Khuri. Logharmonicity and logbiharmonicity properties of a nonlinear complex operator. *Int. J. Nonlinear Oper. Theory Appl.*, **2**(1):73–82, 2007.
- [6] Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang. Compositions of harmonic mappings and biharmonic mappings. *Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, **17**(4):693–704, 2010.
- [7] Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang. Bloch constant and Landau's theorem for planar p -harmonic mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **373**:102–110, 2011.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.06.025>.
- [8] Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang. Landau's theorem for p -harmonic mappings in several variables. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **103**:67–87, 2012.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/ap103-1-6>.
- [9] J.G. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small. Harmonic univalent functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I*, **9**:3–25, 1984.

- [10] P. Duren. *Univalent Functions*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [11] P. Duren. *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [12] S.A. Khuri. Biorthogonal series solution of stokes flow problems in sectorial regions. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **56**:19–39, 1996.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0156002>.
- [13] S.A. Khuri. On the properties of a class of log-biharmonic functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **24**(7):1142–1148, 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.01.040>.
- [14] P. Li, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang. Some properties of planar p -harmonic and log- p -harmonic mappings. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)*, 2012. to appear
- [15] P. Li and X. Wang. Landau's theorem for log- p -harmonic mappings. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218**(9):4806–4812, 2012.
- [16] M.S. Liu. Landau's theorems for biharmonic mappings. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, **53**(9):843–855, 2008. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476930802166337>.
- [17] Q. Luo and X. Wang. The starlikeness, convexity, covering theorem and extreme points of p -harmonic mappings. *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 2012. to appear
- [18] St. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas. On the preservation of direction-convexity and the Goodman–Saff conjecture. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I*, **14**:63–73, 1989.